

Literatur zum Artikel

Robotik in der Viszeralchirurgie

1. Jacob BP, Gagner M (2003) Robotics and general surgery. *Surg Clin North Am* 83: 1405–1419
2. Harris SJ, Arambula-Cosio F, Mei Q (1997) The Probot – an active robot for prostate resection. *Proc Inst Mech Eng Part H J Eng Med* 211: 317–325
3. Lane T (2018) A short history of robotic surgery. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 100: 5–7
4. Himpens J, Leman G, Cadiere GB (1998) Telesurgical laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 12: 1091
5. Weber PA, Merola S, Wasielewski A, et al (2002) Telerobotic-assisted laparoscopic right and sigmoid colectomies for benign disease. *Dis Colon Rectum* 45: 1689–1696
6. Davis CH, et al (2018) Trends in laparoscopic colorectal surgery over time from 2005–2014 using the NSQIP database. *J Surg Res* 223: 16–21
7. Shah MF, Nasir I ul I, Parvaiz A (2019) Robotic surgery for colorectal cancer. *Visc Med* 35: 247–251
8. Ngu JCY, Kim SH (2019) Robotic surgery in colorectal cancer: the way forward or a passing fad. *J Gastrointest Oncol* 10: 1222–1228
9. Jayne D, et al (2017) Effect of robotic-assisted vs conventional laparoscopic surgery on risk of conversion to open laparotomy among patients undergoing resection for rectal cancer: the ROLARR randomized clinical trial. *J Am Med Assoc* 318: 1569–1580
10. Prete FP, et al (2018) Robotic versus laparoscopic minimally invasive surgery for rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann Surg* 267: 1034–1046
11. Andolfi C, Umanskiy K (2019) Appraisal and current considerations of robotics in colon and rectal surgery. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech* 29: 152–158
12. Widmar M, et al (2017) Lymph node yield in right colectomy for cancer: a comparison of open, laparoscopic and robotic approaches. *Colorect Dis* 19: 888–894
13. Casillas MA, et al (2014) Improved perioperative and short-term outcomes of robotic versus conventional laparoscopic colorectal operations. *Am J Surg* 208: 33–40
14. Kirchberg J, Weitz J (2019) Evidenz der Roboter-Chirurgie in der onkologischen Viszeralchirurgie. *Chirurg* 90: 379–386
15. Peng L, Lin S, Li Y, Xiao W (2017) Systematic review and meta-analysis of robotic versus open pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Surg Endosc* 31: 3085–3097
16. Joyce D, Morris-Stiff G, Falk GA, et al (2014) Robotic surgery of the pancreas. *World J Gastroenterol* 20: 14726–14732
17. Kamarajah SK, Bundred J, Marc OS, et al (2020) Robotic versus conventional laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 46: 6–14
18. van Hilst J, et al (2019) Laparoscopic versus open pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic or periampullary tumours (LEOPARD-2): a multicentre, patient-blinded, randomised controlled phase 2/3 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 4: 199–207
19. Guerrini GP, et al (2017) Robotic versus laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy: an up-to-date meta-analysis. *BMC Surg* 17: 1–10
20. de Rooij T, et al (2019) Minimally invasive versus open distal pancreatectomy (LEOPARD): a multicenter patient-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 269: 2–9
21. Olmi S, et al (2017) Total and subtotal laparoscopic gastrectomy for the treatment of advanced gastric cancer: morbidity and oncological outcomes. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech* 28: 278–285
22. Park DJ, et al (2012) Long-term outcomes after laparoscopy-assisted gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer: a large-scale multicenter retrospective study. *Surg Endosc* 26: 1548–1553
23. Hyun M, Lee C, Kim H, et al (2013) Systematic review and meta-analysis of robotic surgery compared with conventional laparoscopic and open resections for gastric carcinoma. *Br J Surg* 100: 1566–1578
24. Shin H, Son S-Y, Wang B, et al (2020) Long-term comparison of robotic and laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer. A propensity score-weighted analysis of 2084 consecutive patients. *Ann Surg*. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003845. [Epub ahead of print]
25. Wang W-J, et al (2019) Severity and incidence of complications assessed by the Clavien-Dindo classification following robotic and laparoscopic gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer: a retrospective and propensity score-matched study. *Surg Endosc* 33: 3341–3354
26. Uyama I, et al (2019) Clinical advantages of robotic gastrectomy for clinical stage I/II gastric cancer: a multi-institutional prospective single-arm study. *Gastric Cancer* 22: 377–385
27. Lee J, Kim YM, Woo Y, et al (2015) Robotic distal subtotal gastrectomy with D2 lymphadenectomy for gastric cancer patients with high body mass index: comparison with conventional laparoscopic distal subtotal gastrectomy with D2 lymphadenectomy. *Surg Endosc* 29: 3251–3260
28. Eshuis WJ, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Draaisma WA, Gisbertz SS (2018) Compliance to D2 lymphadenectomy in laparoscopic gastrectomy. *Updates Surg* 70: 197–205
29. Mariette C, et al (2019) Hybrid minimally invasive esophagectomy for esophageal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 380: 152–162
30. van der Sluis PC, et al (2019) Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoracoscopic esophagectomy versus open transthoracic esophagectomy for resectable esophageal cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 269: 621–630
31. Yun JK, et al (2019) Comparative outcomes of robot-assisted minimally invasive versus open esophagectomy in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a propensity score-weighted analysis. *Dis Esophagus* 33: 1–8 doz071
32. Egberts JH, Biehl M, Perez DR, et al (2019) Robot-assisted oesophagectomy: recommendations towards a standardised Ivor Lewis procedure. *J Gastrointest Surg* 23: 1485–1492
33. Tagkalos E, et al (2019) Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) compared to conventional minimally invasive esophagectomy (MIE) for esophageal cancer: a propensity-matched analysis. *Dis Esophagus* 33: 1–6 doz060
34. Kirchberg J, Mees T, Weitz J (2016) Robotik im Operationssaal. Aus der Nische in die breite Anwendung. *Chirurg* 87: 1025–1032
35. Gockel I, Lorenz D (2016) Die onkologische Ösophagusresektion und -rekonstruktion: Offen, Hybrid, MIC oder Robotik? *Chirurg* 88: 496–502
36. Boone BA, Zenati M, Hogg ME, et al (2015) Assessment of quality outcomes for robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy: identification of the learning curve. *JAMA Surg* 150: 416–422

37. van der Sluis PC, Ruurda JP, van der Horst S, et al (2018) Learning curve for robot-assisted minimally invasive thoracoscopic esophagectomy: results from 312 cases. *Ann Thorac Surg* 106: 264–271
38. Park EJ, Kim CW, Cho MS, et al (2014) Multidimensional analyses of the learning curve of robotic low anterior resection for rectal cancer: 3-phase learning process comparison. *Surg Endosc* 28: 2821–2831
39. Szold A, et al (2015) European association of endoscopic surgeons (EAES) consensus statement on the use of robotics in general surgery. *Surg Endosc* 29: 253–288
40. Herron D, Marohn M (2007) A consensus document on robotic surgery Prepared by the SAGES-MIRA Robotic Surgery Consensus Group. *Surg Endosc* 22: 313–325
41. Yohannes P, Rotariu P, Pinto P, et al (2002) Comparison of robotic versus laparoscopic skills: is there a difference in the learning curve? *Urology* 60: 39–45
42. Tsai SH, et al (2017) Advances in laparoscopic and robotic gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *Pathol Oncol Res* 23: 13–17
43. Hosogi H, Okabe H, Shinohara H, et al (2016) Laparoscopic splenic hilar lymphadenectomy for advanced gastric cancer. *8: 1–7*
44. Nagata J, et al (2016) Colonic marking with near-infrared, light-emitting, diode-activated indocyanine green for laparoscopic colorectal surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 59: e14–e18
45. Brodie A, Vasdev N (2018) The future of robotic surgery. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 100 4–13