

Literatur zum Artikel

Ein aktueller Überblick zur Robotik in der Viszeralchirurgie

1. Cole AP, Trinh QD, Sood A, Menon M (2017) The rise of robotic surgery in the new millennium. *J Urol* 197: S213–S215
2. Moorthy K, Munz Y, Dosis A, et al (2004) Dexterity enhancement with robotic surgery. *Surg Endosc* 18: 790–795
3. Kim HI, Han SU, Yang HK, et al (2016) Multicenter prospective comparative study of robotic versus laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg* 263: 103–109
4. Zureikat AH, Postlewait LM, Liu Y, et al (2016) A multi-institutional comparison of perioperative outcomes of robotic and open pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Ann Surg* 264: 640–649
5. Holmer C, Kreis ME (2018) Systematic review of robotic low anterior resection for rectal cancer. *Surg Endosc* 32: 569–581
6. Mottrie A, Larcher A, Patel V (2018) The past, the present, and the future of robotic urology: robot-assisted surgery and human-assisted robots. *Eur Urol Focus* 4: 629–631
7. Leow JJ, Chang SL, Meyer CP, et al (2016) Robot-assisted versus open radical prostatectomy: a contemporary analysis of an all-payer discharge database. *Eur Urol* 70: 837–845
8. Brunner M, Matzel K, Aladashvili A, et al (2019) Implementierung eines Roboterprogramms in der Viszeralchirurgie – Erfahrungen eines deutschen Zentrums. *Zentralbl Chir* 144: 224–234
9. Urbanski A, Babic B, Schröder W, et al (2021) Neue Techniken und Trainingsmethoden für die roboterassistierte Chirurgie und Kosten-Nutzen-Bewertung anhand der Ivor-Lewis-Ösophagektomie. *Chirurg* 92: 97–101
10. Krajcinovic K, Kim M (2018) Robotik in der Chirurgie. *Coloproctology* 40: 109
11. Koukourikis P, Rha KH (2021) Robotic surgical systems in urology: What is currently available? *Investig Clin Urol* 62: 14–22
12. Freschi C, Ferrari V, Melfi F, et al (2013) Technical review of the da Vinci surgical telemanipulator. *Int J Med Robot* 9: 396–406
13. Kim DH, Kim H, Kwak S, et al (2016) The settings, pros and cons of the new surgical robot da Vinci Xi system for transoral robotic surgery (TORS): a comparison with the popular da Vinci Si system. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 26: 391–396
14. Oleynikov D (2008) Robotic surgery. *Surg Clin North Am* 88: 1121–1130
15. Rao PP (2018) Robotic surgery: new robots and finally some real competition! *World J Urol* 36: 537–541
16. TransEnterix. The first in digital laparoscopy. Senhance Surgical System [Internet]. Morrisville: TransEnterix; 2021 [cited 2021 Apr 9]. <https://www.senhance.com/us/digital-laparoscopy>
17. Rossitto C, Gueli Alletti S, Fanfani F, et al (2016) Learning a new robotic surgical device: Telelap Alf X in gynaecological surgery. *Int J Med Robot* 12: 490–495
18. Spinelli A, David G, Gidaro S, et al (2018) First experience in colorectal surgery with a new robotic platform with haptic feedback. *Colorectal Dis* 20: 228–235
19. Samalavicius NE, Janusonis V, Sialuly R, et al (2020) Robotic surgery using Senhance® robotic platform: single center experience with first 100 cases. *J Robot Surg* 14: 371–376
20. avateramedical GmbH. Avatera system [Internet]. Jena: avateramedical GmbH; 2020 [cited 2021 Apr 9]. <https://www.avatera.eu/en/avatera-system>
21. Distalmoton. Dexter System [Internet]. 2021 [Zugriff 29.4.2021]. <https://www.distalmotion.com/>
22. CMR Surgical. The Versius Surgical Robotic System. 2021 [Zugriff 29.4.2021]. <https://cmrsurgical.com/>
23. Brodie A, Vasdev N (2018) The future of robotic surgery. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 100: 4–13
24. Hagn U, Konietzschke R, Tobergte A, et al (2010) DLR MiroSurge: a versatile system for research in endoscopic telesurgery. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg* 5: 183–193
25. Ramji KM, Cleghorn MC, Josse JM, et al (2016) Comparison of clinical and economic outcomes between robotic, laparoscopic, and open rectal cancer surgery: early experience at a tertiary care center. *Surg Endosc* 30: 1337–1343
26. Higgins RM, Frelich MJ, Bosler ME, Gould JC (2017) Cost analysis of robotic versus laparoscopic general surgery procedures. *Surg Endosc* 31: 185–192
27. Jeong IG, Khandwala YS, Kim JH, et al (2017) Association of robotic-assisted vs laparoscopic radical nephrectomy with perioperative outcomes and health care costs, 2003 to 2015. *JAMA* 318: 1561–1568
28. Ielpo B, Duran H, Diaz E, et al (2017) Robotic versus laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer: a comparative study of clinical outcomes and costs. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 10: 1423–1429
29. Morelli L, Guadagni S, Lorenzoni V, et al (2016) Robot-assisted versus laparoscopic rectal resection for cancer in a single surgeon's experience: a cost analysis covering the initial 50 robotic cases with the da Vinci Si. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 31: 1639–48
30. Byrn JC, Hrabe JE, Charlton ME (2014) An initial experience with 85 consecutive robotic-assisted rectal dissections: improved operating times and lower costs with experience. *Surg Endosc* 28: 3101–3107
31. Pucheril D, Fletcher SA, Chen X, et al (2021) Workplace absenteeism amongst patients undergoing open vs. robotic radical prostatectomy, hysterectomy, and partial colectomy. *Surg Endosc* 35: 1644–1650
32. Hohwü L, Akre O, Pedersen KV, et al (2009) Open retropubic prostatectomy versus robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy: a comparison of length of sick leave. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 43: 259–264
33. Bultitude MF, Murphy D, Challacombe B, et al (2009) Patient perception of robotic urology. *BJU Int* 103: 285–286
34. Möller T, Becker T, Reichert B, Egberts JH (2020) Robotische Ösophageusektion (RAMIE) – Setup, Port Placement, strukturierte OP-Schritte. *Zentralbl Chir* 145: 252–259
35. Okusanya OT, Hess NR, Luketich JD, Sarkaria IS (2017) Technique of robotic assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE). *J Vis Surg* 3: 116
36. van der Sluis PC, van der Horst S, May AM, et al (2019) Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoracoscopic esophagectomy versus open transthoracic esophagectomy for resectable esophageal cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 269: 621–630
37. de Groot EM, van der Horst S, Kingma BF, et al (2020) Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoracoscopic esophagectomy versus open esophagectomy: long-term follow-up of a randomized clinical trial. *Dis Esophagus* 33: doaa079
38. van der Sluis PC, Ruurda JP, van der Horst S, et al (2021) Robot-assisted minimally invasive thoraco-laparoscopic esophagectomy versus open transthoracic esophagectomy for resectable esophageal cancer, a randomized controlled trial (ROBOT trial). *Trials* 13: 230
39. Yang Y, Zhang X, Li B, et al (2019) Robot-assisted esophagectomy (RAE) versus conventional minimally invasive esophagectomy (MIE) for resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: protocol for a multicenter prospective randomized controlled trial (RAMIE trial, robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy). *BMC Cancer* 19: 608
40. Tagkalos E, Goense L, Hoppe-Lotichius M, et al (2020) Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) compared to conventional minimally invasive esophagectomy (MIE) for esophageal cancer: a propensity-matched analysis. *Dis Esophagus* 33: doz060
41. van Boxel GI, Ruurda JP, van Hillegersberg R (2019) Robotic-assisted gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a European perspective. *Gastric Cancer* 22: 909–919
42. Lu J, Zheng CH, Xu BB, et al (2021) Assessment of robotic versus laparoscopic distal gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 273: 858–867

43. Wang G, Jiang Z, Zhao J, et al (2016) Assessing the safety and efficacy of full robotic gastrectomy with intracorporeal robot-sewn anastomosis for gastric cancer: a randomized clinical trial. *J Surg Oncol* 113: 397–404
44. Muaddi H, Hafid ME, Choi WJ, et al (2021) Clinical outcomes of robotic surgery compared to conventional surgical approaches (laparoscopic or open): a systematic overview of reviews. *Ann Surg* 273: 467–473
45. Ng KT, Tsia AKV, Chong VYL (2019) Robotic versus conventional laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis. *World J Surg* 43: 1146–1161
46. Hu KY, Wu R, Szabo A, et al (2020) Laparoscopic versus robotic proctectomy outcomes: an ACS-NSQIP analysis. *J Surg Res* 255: 495–501
47. Crippa J, Grass F, Dozoi EJ, et al (2020) Robotic surgery for rectal cancer provides advantageous outcomes over laparoscopic approach: results from a large retrospective cohort. *Ann Surg*. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003805 (Epub ahead of print)
48. Jayne D, Pigazzi A, Marshall H, et al (2017) Effect of robotic-assisted vs conventional laparoscopic surgery on risk of conversion to open laparotomy among patients undergoing resection for rectal cancer: the ROLARR randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 318: 1569–1580
49. Rouanet P, Mermoud A, Jarlier M, et al (2020) Combined robotic approach and enhanced recovery after surgery pathway for optimization of costs in patients undergoing proctectomy. *BJS Open* 4: 516–523
50. Kowalewski KF, Seifert L, Ali S, et al (2021) Functional outcomes after laparoscopic versus robotic-assisted rectal resection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc*. 35: 81–95
51. Fleming CA, Cullinane C, Lynch N, et al (2021) Urogenital function following robotic and laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery: meta-analysis. *Br J Surg* 108: 128–137
52. Rausa E, Bianco F, Kelly ME, et al (2019) Systemic review and network meta-analysis comparing minimal surgical techniques for rectal cancer: quality of total mesorectum excision, pathological, surgical, and oncological outcomes. *J Surg Oncol* 119: 987–998
53. Milone M, Manigrasso M, Velotti N, et al (2019) Completeness of total mesorectum excision of laparoscopic versus robotic surgery: a review with a meta-analysis. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 34: 983–991
54. Satava RM, Stefanidis D, Levy JS, et al (2020) Proving the effectiveness of the fundamentals of robotic surgery (FRS) skills curriculum: a single-blinded, multi-specialty, multi-institutional randomized control trial. *Ann Surg* 272: 384–392
55. Martin JR, Stefanidis D, Dorin RP, et al (2021) Demonstrating the effectiveness of the fundamentals of robotic surgery (FRS) curriculum on the RobotiX Mentor Virtual Reality Simulation Platform. *J Robot Surg* 15: 187–193
56. Nasir BS, Bryant AS, Minnich DJ, et al (2014) Performing robotic lobectomy and segmentectomy: cost, profitability, and outcomes. *Ann Thorac Surg* 98: 203–208
57. Guend H, Widmar M, Patel S, et al (2017) Developing a robotic colorectal cancer surgery program: understanding institutional and individual learning curves. *Surg Endosc* 31: 2820–2828
58. Stefanidis D, Wang F, Korndorffer JR Jr, et al (2010) Robotic assistance improves intracorporeal suturing performance and safety in the operating room while decreasing operator workload. *Surg Endosc* 24: 377–382
59. van der Schatte Olivier RH, Van't Hullenar CD, Ruurda JP, Broeders IA (2009) Ergonomics, user comfort, and performance in standard and robot-assisted laparoscopic surgery. *Surg Endosc* 23: 1365–1371
60. Marescaux J, Leroy J, Gagner M, et al (2001) Transatlantic robot-assisted tele-surgery. *Nature* 413 (6854): 379–380 [Erratum: *Nature* 414 (6865): 710]
61. Kwon IG, Son T, Kim HI, Hyung WJ (2019) Fluorescent lymphography-guided lymphadenectomy during robotic radical gastrectomy for gastric cancer. *JAMA Surg* 154: 150–158
62. Kim HJ, Choi GS, Park JS, et al (2020) S122: impact of fluorescence and 3D images to completeness of lateral pelvic node dissection. *Surg Endosc* 34: 469–476
63. Zhou SC, Tian YT, Wang XW, et al (2019) Application of indocyanine green-enhanced near-infrared fluorescence-guided imaging in laparoscopic lateral pelvic lymph node dissection for middle-low rectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 25: 4502–4511
64. Yeung TM, Volpi D, Tullis ID, et al (2016) Identifying ureters In situ under fluorescence during laparoscopic and open colorectal surgery. *Ann Surg* 263: e1–2
65. Li Z, Zhou Y, Tian G, et al (2020) Meta-analysis on the efficacy of indocyanine green fluorescence angiography for reduction of anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer surgery. *Am Surg* 30: 3134820982848. doi: 10.1177/0003134820982848 (Epub ahead of print)
66. Alekseev M, Rybakov E, Shelygin Y, et al (2020) A study investigating the perfusion of colorectal anastomoses using fluorescence angiography: results of the FLAG randomized trial. *Colorectal Dis* 22: 1147–1153