

# Literatur zum Artikel

## Brustrekonstruktion nach Mammakarzinom

1. Robert-Koch-Institut. Zentrum für Krebsregisterdaten - Brustkrebs (Mammakarzinom). [https://www.krebsdaten.de/Krebs/DE/Content/Krebsarten/Brustkrebs/brustkrebs\\_node.html](https://www.krebsdaten.de/Krebs/DE/Content/Krebsarten/Brustkrebs/brustkrebs_node.html)
2. Gerber B, Marx M, Untch M, Faridi A (2015) Brustrekonstruktion nach Mammakarzinom. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 112: 593–600
3. Cederna PS, Yates WR, Chang P, et al (1995) Postmastectomy reconstruction: comparative analysis of the psychosocial, functional, and cosmetic effects of transverse rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap versus breast implant reconstruction. *Ann Plast Surg* 35: 458–468
4. Hu ES, Pusic AL, Waljee JF, et al (2009) Patient-reported aesthetic satisfaction with breast reconstruction during the long-term survivorship period. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 124: 1–8
5. Ng SK, Hare RM, Kuang RJ, et al (2016) Breast reconstruction post mastectomy: patient satisfaction and decision making. *Ann Plast Surg* 76: 640–644
6. Coroneos CJ, Selber JC, Offodile AC, et al (2019) US FDA breast implant postapproval studies: long-term outcomes in 99 993 patients. *Ann Surg* 269: 30–36
7. AWMF (2020) Interdisziplinäre S3-Leitlinie für die Früherkennung, Diagnostik, Therapie und Nachsorge des Mammakarzinoms. AWMF-Registernummer: 032-0450L
8. Blocksma R, Braley S (1965) The silicones in plastic surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 35: 366–370
9. Rocco N, Rispoli C, Moja L, et al (2016) Different types of implants for reconstructive breast surgery. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*: Cd010895
10. Keech JA Jr, Creech BJ (1997) Anaplastic T-cell lymphoma in proximity to a saline-filled breast implant. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 100: 554–555
11. Marra A, Viale G, Pileri SA, et al (2020) Breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma: A comprehensive review. *Cancer Treat Rev* 84: 101963
12. BfArM (2020) Möglicher Zusammenhang zwischen Brustimplantaten und der Entstehung eines anaplastischen großzelligen Lymphoms (ALCL). [https://www.bfarm.de/SharedDocs/Risikoinformationen/Medizinprodukte/DE/Brustimplantate\\_ALCL\\_FDA.html](https://www.bfarm.de/SharedDocs/Risikoinformationen/Medizinprodukte/DE/Brustimplantate_ALCL_FDA.html)
13. Eichler C, Schulz C, Vogt N, Warm M (2017) The use of acellular dermal matrices (ADM) in breast reconstruction: a review. *Surg Technol Int* 31: 53–60
14. Holmström H (1979) The free abdominoplasty flap and its use in breast reconstruction. An experimental study and clinical case report. *Scand J Plast Reconstr Surg* 13: 423–427
15. Thamm OC, Andree C (2018) Immediate versus delayed breast reconstruction: evolving concepts and evidence base. *Clin Plast Surg* 45: 119–127
16. Kelley BP, Ahmed R, Kidwell KM, et al (2014) A systematic review of morbidity associated with autologous breast reconstruction before and after exposure to radiotherapy: are current practices ideal? *Ann Surg Oncol* 21: 1732–1738
17. Nelson JA, Disa JJ (2017) Breast reconstruction and radiation therapy: an update. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 140: 60s–68s
18. Ludolph I, Horch RE, Harlander M, et al (2015) Is there a rationale for autologous breast reconstruction in older patients? A retrospective single center analysis of quality of life, complications and comorbidities after DIEP or ms-TRAM Flap using the BREAST-Q. *Breast J* 21: 588–595
19. Cai A, Suckau J, Arkudas A, et al (2019) Autologous breast reconstruction with transverse rectus abdominis musculocutaneous (TRAM) or deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flaps: an analysis of the 100 most cited articles. *Med Sci Monit* 25: 3520–3536
20. Andrades P, Fix RJ, Danilla S, et al (2008) Ischemic complications in pedicle, free, and muscle sparing transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flaps for breast reconstruction. *Ann Plast Surg* 60: 562–567
21. Hendricks DL, Wilkens TH, Witt PD (1994) Blood-flow contributions by the superior and inferior epigastric arterial systems in TRAM flaps, based on laser doppler flowmetry. *J Reconstr Microsurg* 10: 249–252; discussion 253–244
22. Taylor GI (2003) The angiosomes of the body and their supply to perforator flaps. *Clin Plast Surg* 30: 331–342
23. Seidenstuecker K, Legler U, Munder B, et al (2016) Myosonographic study of abdominal wall dynamics to assess donor site morbidity after microsurgical breast reconstruction with a DIEP or an ms-2 TRAM flap. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 69: 598–603
24. Nahabedian MY, Momen B, Galdino G, Manson PN (2002) Breast reconstruction with the free TRAM or DIEP flap: patient selection, choice of flap, and outcome. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 110: 466–475; discussion 476–467
25. Nahabedian MY, Tsangaris T, Momen B (2005) Breast reconstruction with the DIEP flap or the muscle-sparing (MS-2) free TRAM flap: is there a difference? *Plast Reconstr Surg* 115: 436–444; discussion 445–436
26. Chen CM, Halvorson EG, Disa JJ, et al (2007) Immediate postoperative complications in DIEP versus free/muscle-sparing TRAM flaps. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 120: 1477–1482
27. Nelson JA, Guo Y, Sonnad SS, et al (2010) A comparison between DIEP and muscle-sparing free TRAM flaps in breast reconstruction: a single surgeon's recent experience. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 126: 1428–1435
28. Damen TH, Morritt AN, Zhong T, et al (2013) Improving outcomes in microsurgical breast reconstruction: lessons learnt from 406 consecutive DIEP/TRAM flaps performed by a single surgeon. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 66: 1032–1038
29. Man LX, Selber JC, Serletti JM (2009) Abdominal wall following free TRAM or DIEP flap reconstruction: a meta-analysis and critical review. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 124: 752–764
30. Egeberg A, Rasmussen MK, Sørensen JA (2012) Comparing the donor-site morbidity using DIEP, SIEA or MS-TRAM flaps for breast reconstructive surgery: a meta-analysis. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 65: 1474–1480
31. Eisenhardt, SU, Momeni A, von Fritschen U, et al (2018) Brustrekonstruktion mit freien TRAM oder DIEP Lappen – Was ist zeitgemäßer Standard? Konsensuspapier der Deutschen Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Mikrochirurgie der peripheren Nerven und Gefäße. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir* 50: 248–255
32. Steiner D, Horch RE, Ludolph I, et al (2020) Interdisciplinary treatment of breast cancer after mastectomy with autologous breast reconstruction using abdominal free flaps in a university teaching hospital – a standardized and safe procedure. *Front Oncol* 10: 177
33. Vollbach FH, Heitmann, CD, Fansa H (2016) An appraisal of internal mammary artery perforators as recipient vessels in microvascular breast reconstruction – an analysis of 515 consecutive cases. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob open* 4: e1144
34. Lhuairé M, Hivelin M, Dramé M, et al (2017) Determining the best recipient vessel site for autologous microsurgical breast reconstruction with DIEP flaps: An anatomical study. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 70: 781–791
35. Chang EI, Chang EI, Soto-Miranda MA, et al (2013) Comprehensive analysis of donor-site morbidity in abdominally based free flap breast reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 132: 1383–1391
36. Rozen WM, Ashton MW, Kiil BJ, et al (2008) Avoiding denervation of rectus abdominis in DIEP flap harvest II: an intraoperative assessment of the nerves to rectus. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 122: 1321–1325
37. Wormald JC, Wade RG, Figus A (2014) The increased risk of adverse outcomes in bilateral deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap breast reconstruction compared to unilateral reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 67: 143–156
38. Munhoz AM, Pellarin L, Montag E, et al (2011) Superficial inferior epigastric artery (SIEA) free flap using perforator vessels as a recipient site: clinical implications in autologous breast reconstruction. *Am J Surg* 202: 612–617
39. Schmauss D, Beier JP, Eisenhardt SU, et al (2019) Der sichere Lappen – Präoperatives Gefäß-Mapping und intraoperative Perfusionsmessung zur Reduktion der lappenbedingten Morbidität. Konsensuspapier der Deutschsprachigen Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Mikrochirurgie der peripheren Nerven und Gefäße. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir* 51: 410–417

40. Mijuskovic B, Tremp M, Heimer MM, et al (2019) Color Doppler ultrasound and computed tomographic angiography for perforator mapping in DIEP flap breast reconstruction revisited: a cohort study. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 72: 1632–1639
41. Wade RG, Watford J, Wormald JCR, et al (2018) Perforator mapping reduces the operative time of DIEP flap breast reconstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis of preoperative ultrasound, computed tomography and magnetic resonance angiography. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 71: 468–477
42. Ludolph I, Arkudas A, Schmitz M, et al (2016) Cracking the perfusion code?: Laser-assisted Indocyanine Green angiography and combined laser Doppler spectrophotometry for intraoperative evaluation of tissue perfusion in autologous breast reconstruction with DIEP or ms-TRAM flaps. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 69: 1382–1388
43. Fansa H, Schirmer S, Warnecke IC, et al (2008) The transverse myocutaneous gracilis muscle flap: a fast and reliable method for breast reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 122: 1326–1333
44. Schoeller T, Huemer GM, Wechselberger G (2008) The transverse musculocutaneous gracilis flap for breast reconstruction: guidelines for flap and patient selection. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 122: 29–38
45. Locke MB, Zhong T, Mureau MA, Hofer, SO (2012) Tug „O’ war: challenges of transverse upper gracilis (TUG) myocutaneous free flap breast reconstruction. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 65: 1041–1050
46. Vega SJ, Sandeen SN, Bossert RP, et al (2009) Gracilis myocutaneous free flap in autologous breast reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 124: 1400–1409
47. Deutinger M, Kuzbari R, Paternostro-Sluga T, et al (1995) Donor-site morbidity of the gracilis flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 95: 1240–1244
48. Buntic RF, Horton KM, Brooks D, Althubaiti GA (2011) Transverse upper gracilis flap as an alternative to abdominal tissue breast reconstruction: technique and modifications. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 128: 607e–613e
49. Allen RJ, Haddock NT, Ahn CY, Sadeghi A (2012) Breast reconstruction with the profunda artery perforator flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 129:16e-23e
50. Beier JP, Horch RE, Bach AD (2009) Breast reconstruction after breast-cancer surgery. *N Engl J Med* 360: 418–419; author reply 420–411
51. Godbout E, Farmer L, Bortoluzzi P, Caouette Laberge L (2013) Donor-site morbidity of the inferior gluteal artery perforator flap for breast reconstruction in teenagers. *Can J Plast Surg* 21: 19–22
52. Hill HL, Nahai, F, Vasconez LO (1978) The tensor fascia lata myocutaneous free flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 61: 517–522
53. Nahai F, Silvertown JS, Hill HL, Vasconez LO (1978) The tensor fascia lata musculocutaneous flap. *Ann Plast Surg*: 372–379
54. Elliott LF, Beegle PH, Hartrampf, CR Jr (1990) The lateral transverse thigh free flap: an alternative for autogenous-tissue breast reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 85: 169–178; discussion 179–181
55. Kind GM, Foster RD (2011) Breast reconstruction using the lateral femoral circumflex artery perforator flap. *J Reconstr Microsurg* 27: 427–432
56. Boehm D, Bergmeister K, Gazyakan E, et al (2018) Autologous breast reconstruction using a tensor fascia lata/anterior lateral thigh-freestyle flap after extensive electric burn: a case report. *Ann Plast Surg* 80: 503–506
57. Tuinder SMH, Beugels, J, Lataster A, et al (2018) The lateral thigh perforator flap for autologous breast reconstruction: a prospective analysis of 138 flaps. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 141: 257–268
58. Elliott LF, Hartrampf CR Jr (1998) The Rubens flap. The deep circumflex iliac artery flap. *Clin Plast Surg* 25: 283–291
59. Elzinga K, Buchel E (2018) The deep circumflex iliac artery perforator flap for breast reconstruction: un lambeau perforateur de l’artère iliaque circonflexe profonde pour la reconstruction mammaire. *Plast Surg (Oakv)* 26: 229–237
60. Momeni A, Kanchwala S (2018) Hybrid prepectoral breast reconstruction: a surgical approach that combines the benefits of autologous and implant-based reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 142: 1109–1115
61. Bach AD, Morgenstern IH, Horch RE (2020) Secondary „hybrid reconstruction“ concept with silicone implants after autologous breast reconstruction – is it safe and reasonable? *Med Sci Monit* 26: e921329
62. Clough KB, Louis-Sylvestre C, Fitoussi A, et al (2002) Donor site sequelae after autologous breast reconstruction with an extended latissimus dorsi flap. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 109: 1904–1911
63. Chang EI, Masià J, Smith ML (2018) Combining autologous breast reconstruction and vascularized lymph node transfer. *Semin Plast Surg* 32: 36–41
64. Dayan JH, Dayan E, Smith ML (2015) Reverse lymphatic mapping: a new technique for maximizing safety in vascularized lymph node transfer. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 135: 277–285
65. Kengelbach-Weigand A, Tasbihi K, Strissel PL, et al (2019) Plasticity of patient-matched normal mammary epithelial cells is dependent on autologous adipose-derived stem cells. *Sci Rep* 9: 10722
66. Hamdi M (2013) Oncoplastic and reconstructive surgery of the breast. *Breast* 22 Suppl 2: S100–105
67. Kim JB, Kim DK, Lee, JW, et al (2018) The usefulness of pedicled perforator flap in partial breast reconstruction after breast conserving surgery in Korean women. *Arch Plast Surg* 45: 29–36
68. Hivernaud V, Lefourn B, Guicheux J, et al (2015) Autologous fat grafting in the breast: critical points and technique improvements. *Aesthet Plast Surg* 39: 547–561
69. Jabor MA, Shayani P, Collins DR Jr, et al (2002) Nipple-areola reconstruction: satisfaction and clinical determinants. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 110: 457–463; discussion 464–455