Literatur zum Artikel
Strukturierte Etablierung eines Roboterprogramms samt Weiterbildung

1. Litynski GS (1998) Erich Mühe and the rejection of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (1985): a surgeon ahead of his time. JSLS 2: 341
2. Semm K (1983) Endoscopic appendectomy. Endoscopy 15: 59–64
3. Tang CL, Schlich T (2017) Surgical Innovation and the multiple meanings of randomized controlled trials: the first RCT on minimally invasive cholecystectomy (1980–2000). J Hist Med Allied Sci 72: 117–141
4. Thomaschewski M, Laubert T, Zimmermann M, et al (2020) Efficacy of goal-directed minimally invasive surgery simulation training with the Lübeck toolbox-curriculum prior to first operations on patients: study protocol for a multi-centre randomized controlled validation trial (NOVICE). Int J Surg Protoc 21: 13–20
5. Zendejas B, Brydges R, Hamstra SJ, Cook DA (2013) State of the evidence on simulation-based training for laparoscopic surgery: a systematic review. Ann Surg 257: 586–593
6. Berguer R, Smith WD, Chung YH (2001) Performing laparoscopic surgery is significantly more stressful for the surgeon than open surgery. Surg Endosc 15: 1204–1207
7. Li MM, George J (2017) A systematic review of low-cost laparoscopic simulators. Surg Endosc 31: 38–48
8. Alaker M, Wynn GR, Arulampalam T (2016) Virtual reality training in laparoscopic surgery: a systematic review & meta-analysis. Int J Surg 29: 85–94
9. Laubert T, Esnaashari H, Auerswald P, et al (2018) Conception of the Lübeck toolbox curriculum for basic minimally invasive surgery skills. Langenbecks Arch Surg 403: 271–278
10. Thomaschewski M, Zimmermann M, Müller-Debus CF, et al (2020) Robotisch-assistierte obere gastrointestinale und hepatopankreatobiliäre Chirurgie: Etablierung durch einen Stepwise Approach und eine Analyse der ersten 100 Operationen. Zentralbl Chir 145: 234–245
11. Müller-Debus CF, Thomaschewski M, Zimmermann M, et al (2020) Robot-assisted pancreatic surgery: a structured approach to standardization of a program and of the operation. Visc Med 36: 104–112
12. Oberlin DT, Flum AS, Lai JD, Meeks JJ (2016) The effect of minimally invasive prostatectomy on practice patterns of American urologists. Urol Oncol 34: 255.e1–5
13. Stitzenberg KB, Wong Y-N, Nielsen ME, et al (2012) Trends in radical prostatectomy: centralization, robotics, and access to urologic cancer care. Cancer 118: 54–62
14. Schroeck FR, de Sousa CAP, Kalman RA, et al (2008) Trainees do not negatively impact the institutional learning curve for robotic prostatectomy as characterized by operative time, estimated blood loss, and positive surgical margin rate. Urology 71: 597–601
15. Povolotskaya N, Woolas R, Brinkmann D (2015) Implementation of a robotic surgical program in gynaecological oncology and comparison with prior laparoscopic series. Int J Surg Oncol 2015: 814315
16. Randell R, Honey S, Alvarado N, et al (2019) Factors supporting and constraining the implementation of robot-assisted surgery: a realist interview study. BMJ Open 9: e028635
17. Jones A, Sethia K (2010) Robotic surgery: why we have bought a robot. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 92: 5–8
18. Chen R, Rodrigues Armijo P, Krause C, et al (2020) A comprehensive review of robotic surgery curriculum and training for residents, fellows, and postgraduate surgical education. Surg Endosc 34: 361–367
19. Tam V, Zenati M, Novak S, et al (2017) Robotic pancreatoduodenectomy biotissue curriculum has validity and improves technical performance for surgical oncology fellows. J Surg Educ 74: 1057–1065
20. Ramos P, Montez J, Tripp A, et al (2014) Face, content, construct and concurrent validity of dry laboratory exercises for robotic training using a global assessment tool. BJU Int 113: 836–842
21. Kowalewski KF, Schmidt MW, Proctor T, et al (2018) Skills in minimally invasive and open surgery show limited transferability to robotic surgery: results from a prospective study. Surg Endosc 32: 1656–1667
22. Herron DM, Marohn M; SAGES-MIRA Robotic Surgery Consensus Group (2008) A consensus document on robotic surgery. Surg Endosc 22: 313–325
23. Goh AC, Goldfarb DW, Sander JC, et al (2012) Global evaluative assessment of robotic skills: validation of a clinical assessment tool to measure robotic surgical skills. J Urol 187: 247–252